

Referências

- (CASP), C. A. S. P. (2022). *CASP checklists*. <https://casp-uk.net/casp-tools-checklists/>. Retrieved 12 Dec 2022 from
- (JBI), J. B. I. (2022). *Critical appraisal tools*. Retrieved 12 Dec 2022 from
- Akl, E. A., Meerpohl, J. J., Elliott, J., Kahale, L. A., Schünemann, H. J., Agoritsas, T., Hilton, J., Perron, C., Akl, E., Hodder, R., Pestrige, C., Albrecht, L., Horsley, T., Platt, J., Armstrong, R., Nguyen, P. H., Plovnick, R., Arno, A., Ivers, N., . . . Pearson, L. (2017). Living systematic reviews: 4. Living guideline recommendations. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, *91*, 47-53. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.08.009>
- Ardern, C. L., Büttner, F., Andrade, R., Weir, A., Ashe, M. C., Holden, S., Impellizzeri, F. M., Delahunt, E., Dijkstra, H. P., Mathieson, S., Rathleff, M. S., Reurink, G., Sherrington, C., Stamatakis, E., Vicenzino, B., Whittaker, J. L., Wright, A. A., Clarke, M., Moher, D., . . . Winters, M. (2022). Implementing the 27 PRISMA 2020 Statement items for systematic reviews in the sport and exercise medicine, musculoskeletal rehabilitation and sports science fields: the PERSiST (implementing Prisma in Exercise, Rehabilitation, Sport medicine and SportS science) guidance. *Br J Sports Med*, *56*(4), 175-195. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2021-103987>
- Arksey, H., & O'Malley, L. (2005). Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, *8*(1), 19-32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616>
- Auperin, A., Pignon, J. P., & Poynard, T. (1997). Review article: critical review of meta-analyses of randomized clinical trials in hepatogastroenterology. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*, *11*(2), 215-225. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2036.1997.131302000.x>
- Baas, J., Schotten, M., Plume, A., Côté, G., & Karimi, R. (2020). Scopus as a curated, high-quality bibliometric data source for academic research in quantitative science studies. *Quantitative Science Studies*, *1*(1), 377-386. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00019
- Bastian, H., Glasziou, P., & Chalmers, I. (2010). Seventy-five trials and eleven systematic reviews a day: how will we ever keep up? *PLoS Med*, *7*(9), e1000326. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000326>
- Beller, E., Glasziou, P., Altman, D., Hopewell, S., Bastian, H., Chalmers, I., Gøtzsche, P., Lasserson, T., Tovey, D., & for the, P. f. A. G. (2013). PRISMA for Abstracts: Reporting Systematic Reviews in Journal and Conference Abstracts. *PLOS Medicine*, *10*(4), e1001419. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001419>
- Bento, T. (2014). Revisões sistemáticas em desporto e saúde: Orientações para o planeamento, elaboração, redação e avaliação. *Motricidade*, *10*, 107-123. [https://doi.org/10.6063/motricidade.10\(2\).3699](https://doi.org/10.6063/motricidade.10(2).3699)
- Booth, A. (2006a). "Brimful of STARLITE": Toward standards for reporting literature searches. *Journal of the Medical Library Association : JMLA*, *94*, 421-429, e205.
- Booth, A. (2006b). Clear and present questions: formulating questions for evidence based practice. *Library Hi Tech*, *24*(3), 355-368. <https://doi.org/10.1108/07378830610692127>

- Briscoe, S., Bethel, A., & Rogers, M. (2020). Conduct and reporting of citation searching in Cochrane systematic reviews: A cross-sectional study. *Res Synth Methods*, 11(2), 169-180. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.1355>
- Burda, B. U., Holmer, H. K., & Norris, S. L. (2016). Limitations of A Measurement Tool to Assess Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) and suggestions for improvement. *Syst Rev*, 5, 58. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0237-1>
- Clemente, F., Moran, J., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Silva, A., Badicu, G., Praça, G., & Sarmiento, H. (2022). Recreational soccer training effects on pediatric populations physical fitness and health: A systematic review. *Protocol of Sistematic Review.*, *Publiseh on: <https://osf.io/nuebg/>*.
- Cooke, A., Smith, D., & Booth, A. (2012). Beyond PICO: the SPIDER tool for qualitative evidence synthesis. *Qual Health Res*, 22(10), 1435-1443. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732312452938>
- Cummings, S., Brown, W., & Hulley, S. (2007). Conceiving the research question and develop- ing the study plan. In H. S. B. & S. P. Cummings (Eds.), *Designing clinical research: An epidemiological approach* (4th ed., pp. 14–22). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Dahm, P. (2017). Raising the bar for systematic reviews with Assessment of Multiple Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR). *BJU Int*, 119(2), 193. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bju.13754>
- Donato, H., & Donato, M. (2019). Etapas na Condução de uma Revisão Sistemática. *Acta Medica Portuguesa*, 32, 227. <https://doi.org/10.20344/amp.11923>
- Dosenovic, S., Jelacic Kadic, A., Vucic, K., Markovina, N., Pieper, D., & Puljak, L. (2018). Comparison of methodological quality rating of systematic reviews on neuropathic pain using AMSTAR and R-AMSTAR. *BMC Med Res Methodol*, 18(1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-018-0493-y>
- Downs, S. H., & Black, N. (1998). The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 52(6), 377-384. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.52.6.377>
- Eden, J., Levit, L., Berg, A., & Morton, S. (2011). *Finding what works in health care - standards for systematic reviews*. The national Academies Press.
- Evangelou, E., & Veroniki, A. (2022). *Meta-Research*. Springer.
- Faggion, C. M. (2015). Critical appraisal of AMSTAR: challenges, limitations, and potential solutions from the perspective of an assessor. *Bmc Medical Research Methodology*, 15(1), 63. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-015-0062-6>
- Farrah, K., Young, K., Tunis, M. C., & Zhao, L. (2019). Risk of bias tools in systematic reviews of health interventions: an analysis of PROSPERO-registered protocols. *Systematic Reviews*, 8(1), 280. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-019-1172-8>
- Faulkner, G., Fagan, M. J., & Lee, J. (2022). Umbrella reviews (systematic review of reviews). *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 15(1), 73-90. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2021.1934888>
- Foley, N. C., Teasell, R. W., Bhogal, S. K., & Speechley, M. R. (2003). Stroke Rehabilitation Evidence-Based Review: methodology. *Top Stroke Rehabil*, 10(1), 1-7.
- Fusar-Poli, P., & Radua, J. (2018). Ten simple rules for conducting umbrella reviews. *Evidence Based Mental Health*, 21(3), 95-100. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ebmental-2018-300014>

- Garrity, C., Gartlehner, G., Kamel, C., King, V., Nussbaumer-Streit, B., Stevens, A., Hamel, C., & Affengruber, L. (2020). Cochrane Rapid Reviews. Interim Guidance from the Cochrane Rapid Reviews Method Group. (March 2020).
- Grant, M. J., & Booth, A. (2009). A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Info Libr J*, 26(2), 91-108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>
- Gunnell, K. E., Belcourt, V. J., Tomasone, J. R., & Weeks, L. C. (2022). Systematic review methods. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2021.1966823>
- Hanji, M. (2017). *Meta-analysis in psychiatry research - Fundamental and advanced methods*. Apple Academic Press.
- Higgins, J., S., G., & Altman, G. (2006). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. <http://www.cochrane.org/resources/handbook/hbook.htm>
- Higgins, J., Sterne, J., Savovic, J., Page, M., Hróbjartsson, A., & Boutron, I. (2016). A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomized trials. In J. Chandler, J. McKenzie, I. Boutron, & V. Welch (Eds.), *Cochrane Methods*.
- Higgins, J., Thomas, J., Chandler, J., Cumpston, M., Li, T., Page, M., & Welch, V. (2021). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.2 (updated February 2021)*. Cochrane. www.training.cochrane.org/handbook
- Holly, C., Salmond, S., & Saimbert, M. (2017). *Comprehensive systematic review for advanced practice nursing*. Springer Publishing Company.
- Hunter, R., Gorely, T., Beattie, M., & Harris, K. (2022). Realist review. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2021.1969674>
- Ingham-Broomfield, B. (2016). A nurses' guide to the hierarchy of research designs and evidence. *Australian journal of advanced nursing : a quarterly publication of the Royal Australian Nursing Federation, The*, 33, 38-43.
- Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2009). Integration of evidence from multiple meta-analyses: a primer on umbrella reviews, treatment networks and multiple treatments meta-analyses. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 181(8), 488-493. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.081086>
- Jadad, A. R., Moore, R. A., Carroll, D., Jenkinson, C., Reynolds, D. J. M., Gavaghan, D. J., & McQuay, H. J. (1996). Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: Is blinding necessary? *Controlled Clinical Trials*, 17(1), 1-12. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-2456\(95\)00134-4](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-2456(95)00134-4)
- Jørgensen, L., Paludan-Müller, A. S., Laursen, D. R., Savović, J., Boutron, I., Sterne, J. A., Higgins, J. P., & Hróbjartsson, A. (2016). Evaluation of the Cochrane tool for assessing risk of bias in randomized clinical trials: overview of published comments and analysis of user practice in Cochrane and non-Cochrane reviews. *Syst Rev*, 5, 80. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0259-8>
- Kung, J., Chiappelli, F., Cajulis, O. O., Avezova, R., Kossan, G., Chew, L., & Maida, C. A. (2010). From Systematic Reviews to Clinical Recommendations for Evidence-Based Health Care: Validation of Revised Assessment of Multiple Systematic Reviews (R-AMSTAR) for Grading of Clinical Relevance. *Open Dent J*, 4, 84-91. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1874210601004020084>
- Langlois, E. V., Straus, S. E., Antony, J., King, V. J., & Tricco, A. C. (2019). Using rapid reviews to strengthen health policy and systems and progress towards universal

- health coverage. *Bmj Global Health*, 4(1), e001178. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2018-001178>
- Law, M., Stewart, D., Pollock, N., Letts, L., Bosch, J., & Westmorland, M. (1998). *Critical review form – quantitative studies*. MacMaster University.
- Lefebvre, C., Glanville, J., Briscoe, S., Littlewood, A., Marshal, C., Metzendorf, M., Noel-Storr, A., Rader, T., Shokrane, F., Thomas, J., & Wieland, L. (2020). chapter 4: Searching for and selecting studies. In J. Higgins, J. Thomas, & M. Chandler (Eds.), *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.0*. .
- Letts, L., Wilkins, S., M., L., Stewart, D., D., B., & Westmorland, M. (2007). Critical Review Form - Qualitative Studies (Version 2.0). <http://srs-mcmaster.ca/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/Guidelines-for-Critical-Review-Form-Qualitative-Studies.pdf>
- Levac, D., Colquhoun, H., & O'Brien, K. K. (2010). Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. *Implementation Science*, 5(1), 69. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-5-69>
- Li, T., Higgins, J., & Deeks, J. (2020). Chapter 5: Collecting data. In J. Higgins, J. Thomas, J. Chandler, M. Cumpston, T. Li, M. Page, & V. Welch (Eds.), *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.0*.
- Li, T. C., Higgins, J., & Deeks, J. (2020). Chapter 5: Collecting data. In J. Higgins, J. Thomas, J. Chandler, M. Cumpston, T. Li, M. Page, & V. Welch (Eds.), *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.0*. www.training.cochrane.org/handbook
- Lohr, K. N., & Carey, T. S. (1999). Assessing “Best Evidence”: Issues in Grading the Quality of Studies for Systematic Reviews. *The Joint Commission Journal on Quality Improvement*, 25(9), 470-479. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1070-3241\(16\)30461-8](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1070-3241(16)30461-8)
- Ma, L.-L., Wang, Y.-Y., Yang, Z.-H., Huang, D., Weng, H., & Zeng, X.-T. (2020). Methodological quality (risk of bias) assessment tools for primary and secondary medical studies: what are they and which is better? *Military Medical Research*, 7(1), 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40779-020-00238-8>
- Maher, C. G., Sherrington, C., Herbert, R. D., Moseley, A. M., & Elkins, M. (2003). Reliability of the PEDro scale for rating quality of randomized controlled trials. *Phys Ther*, 83(8), 713-721.
- McAuley, L., Pham, B., Tugwell, P., & Moher, D. (2000). Does the inclusion of grey literature influence estimates of intervention effectiveness reported in meta-analyses? *The Lancet*, 356(9237), 1228-1231. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(00\)02786-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)02786-0)
- Moher, D., Cook, D. J., Eastwood, S., Olkin, I., Rennie, D., & Stroup, D. F. (1999). Improving the quality of reports of meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials: the QUOROM statement. Quality of Reporting of Meta-analyses. *Lancet*, 354(9193), 1896-1900. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(99\)04149-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(99)04149-5)
- Moher, D., Fortin, P., Jadad, A. R., Jüni, P., Klassen, T., Le Lorier, J., Liberati, A., Linde, K., & Penna, A. (1996). Completeness of reporting of trials published in languages other than English: implications for conduct and reporting of systematic reviews. *Lancet*, 347(8998), 363-366. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(96\)90538-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(96)90538-3)

- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Bmj*, 339, b2535. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2535>
- Moher, D., Shamseer, L., Clarke, M., Ghersi, D., Liberati, A., Petticrew, M., Shekelle, P., Stewart, L., & P., P.-. (2015). Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews*, 4(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-4-1>
- Moher, D., Shamseer, L., Clarke, M., Ghersi, D., Liberati, A., Petticrew, M., Shekelle, P., Stewart, L. A., & Group, P.-P. (2015). Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews*, 4(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-4-1>
- Moher, D., Soeken, K., Sampson, M., Ben-Porat, L., & Berman, B. (2002). Assessing the quality of reports of systematic reviews in pediatric complementary and alternative medicine. *BMC Pediatr*, 2, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2431-2-3>
- Munn, Z., Peters, M. D. J., Stern, C., Tufanaru, C., McArthur, A., & Aromataris, E. (2018). Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *Bmc Medical Research Methodology*, 18(1), 143. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x>
- Murad, M. H., Asi, N., Alsawas, M., & Alahdab, F. (2016). New evidence pyramid. *Evidence Based Medicine*, 21(4), 125-127. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ebmed-2016-110401>
- National Heart, L., and Blood Institute (NHLBI). (2022). *Study quality assessment tools*. Bethesda: U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. <https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools>. Retrieved 12 Dec 2022 from
- Negrini, S. (2016). *Introduction to Cochrane and Cochrane Rehabilitation*. https://rehabilitation.cochrane.org/sites/rehabilitation.cochrane.org/files/uploads/sosort_dubrovnik_-_cochrane_reviews.pdf
- Paez, A. (2017). Grey literature: An important resource in systematic reviews. *J Evid Based Med*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jebm.12265>
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., . . . Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Bmj*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
- Page, M. J., Moher, D., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., . . . McKenzie, J. E. (2021). PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. *Bmj*, 372, n160. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n160>
- Peters, M., Godfrey, C., McInerney, P., Munn, Z., Tricco, A., & Khalil, H. (2020). Chapter 11: scoping reviews. In *Joanna Briggs Institute reviewer's manual*. The Joanna Briggs Institute.

- Peters, M. D., Godfrey, C. M., Khalil, H., McInerney, P., Parker, D., & Soares, C. B. (2015). Guidance for conducting systematic scoping reviews. *Int J Evid Based Healthc*, 13(3), 141-146. <https://doi.org/10.1097/xeb.0000000000000050>
- Pollock, M., Fernandes, R. M., Pieper, D., Tricco, A. C., Gates, M., Gates, A., & Hartling, L. (2019). Preferred Reporting Items for Overviews of Reviews (PRIOR): a protocol for development of a reporting guideline for overviews of reviews of healthcare interventions. *Systematic Reviews*, 8(1), 335. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-019-1252-9>
- Quigley, J. M., Thompson, J. C., Halfpenny, N. J., & Scott, D. A. (2019). Critical appraisal of nonrandomized studies-A review of recommended and commonly used tools. *J Eval Clin Pract*, 25(1), 44-52. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jep.12889>
- Rethlefsen, M. L., Kirtley, S., Waffenschmidt, S., Ayala, A. P., Moher, D., Page, M. J., Koffel, J. B., Blunt, H., Brigham, T., Chang, S., Clark, J., Conway, A., Couban, R., de Kock, S., Farrah, K., Fehrmann, P., Foster, M., Fowler, S. A., Glanville, J., . . . Group, P.-S. (2021). PRISMA-S: an extension to the PRISMA Statement for Reporting Literature Searches in Systematic Reviews. *Systematic Reviews*, 10(1), 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-020-01542-z>
- Sanderson, S., Tatt, I. D., & Higgins, J. P. (2007). Tools for assessing quality and susceptibility to bias in observational studies in epidemiology: a systematic review and annotated bibliography. *Int J Epidemiol*, 36(3), 666-676. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dym018>
- Seehra, J., Pandis, N., Koletsi, D., & Fleming, P. S. (2016). Use of quality assessment tools in systematic reviews was varied and inconsistent. *J Clin Epidemiol*, 69, 179-184.e175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2015.06.023>
- Shea, B., Bouter, L., Peterson, J., Boers, M., Andersson, N., Ortiz, Z., Ramsay, T., Bai, A., Shukla, V., & Grimshaw, J. (2007). External Validation of a Measurement Tool to Assess Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR). *Plos One*, 2(12), e1350. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001350>
- Shea, B., Grimshaw, J., Wells, G., Boers, M., Andersson, N., Hamel, C., Porter, A., Tugwell, P., Moher, D., & Bouter, L. M. (2007). Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. *Bmc Medical Research Methodology*, 7(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-7-10>
- Shea, B. J., Hamel, C., Wells, G. A., Bouter, L. M., Kristjansson, E., Grimshaw, J., Henry, D. A., & Boers, M. (2009). AMSTAR is a reliable and valid measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. *J Clin Epidemiol*, 62(10), 1013-1020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2008.10.009>
- Shea, B. J., Reeves, B., Wells, G., Thuku, M., Hamel, C., Moran, J., Moher, D., Tugwell, P., Welch, V., Kristjansson, E., & Henry, D. A. (2017). AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. *Bmj*, 358, j4008. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j4008>
- Singh, V. K., Singh, P., Karmakar, M., Leta, J., & Mayr, P. (2021). The journal coverage of Web of Science, Scopus and Dimensions: A comparative analysis. *Scientometrics*, 126(6), 5113-5142. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-021-03948-5>
- Slim, K., Nini, E., Forestier, D., Kwiatkowski, F., Panis, Y., & Chipponi, J. (2003). Methodological index for non-randomized studies (MINORS): development and

- validation of a new instrument. *ANZ Journal of Surgery*, 73(9), 712-716. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1445-2197.2003.02748.x>
- Somoza, M., Rodríguez-Gairín, J.-M., & Urbano, C. (2018). Journal coverage of the Emerging Sources Citation Index. *Learned Publishing*, 31. <https://doi.org/10.1002/leap.1160>
- Souza, M. T., Silva, M. D., & Carvalho, R. (2010). Integrative review: what is it? How to do it? *Einstein (Sao Paulo)*, 8(1), 102-106. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1679-45082010rw1134>
- Sterne, J. A., Hernán, M. A., Reeves, B. C., Savović, J., Berkman, N. D., Viswanathan, M., Henry, D., Altman, D. G., Ansari, M. T., Boutron, I., Carpenter, J. R., Chan, A. W., Churchill, R., Deeks, J. J., Hróbjartsson, A., Kirkham, J., Jüni, P., Loke, Y. K., Pigott, T. D., . . . Higgins, J. P. (2016). ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. *Bmj*, 355, i4919. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i4919>
- Stewart, L., Moher, D., & Shekelle, P. (2012). Why prospective registration of systematic reviews makes sense. *Systematic Reviews*, 1(1), 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-1-7>
- Stroup, D. F., Berlin, J. A., Morton, S. C., Olkin, I., Williamson, G. D., Rennie, D., Moher, D., Becker, B. J., Sipe, T. A., Thacker, S. B., & Group, f. t. M.-a. O. O. S. i. E. (2000). Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology A Proposal for Reporting. *JAMA*, 283(15), 2008-2012. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.283.15.2008>
- Thacker, S. B., Peterson, H. B., & Stroup, D. F. (1996). Metaanalysis for the obstetrician-gynecologist. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 174(5), 1403-1407. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9378\(96\)70580-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9378(96)70580-x)
- Tricco, A., Langlois, E., & Straus, S. (2017). *Rapid reviews to strengthen health policy and systems: a practical guide*. World Health Organization.
- Tricco, A. C., Antony, J., Zarin, W., Strifler, L., Ghassemi, M., Ivory, J., Perrier, L., Hutton, B., Moher, D., & Straus, S. E. (2015). A scoping review of rapid review methods. *Bmc Medicine*, 13(1), 224. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-015-0465-6>
- Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K. K., Colquhoun, H., Levac, D., Moher, D., Peters, M. D. J., Horsley, T., Weeks, L., Hempel, S., Akl, E. A., Chang, C., McGowan, J., Stewart, L., Hartling, L., Aldcroft, A., Wilson, M. G., Garritty, C., . . . Straus, S. E. (2018). PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 169(7), 467-473. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850>
- Weir, A., Rabia, S., & Ardern, C. (2016). Trusting systematic reviews and meta-analyses: all that glitters is not gold! *Br J Sports Med*, 50(18), 1100-1101. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095896>
- Wells, G. A., Shea, B., O'Connell, D., Peterson, J., Welch, V., Losos, M., & Tugwell, P. (2000). The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. In: Oxford.
- Whittemore, R., & Knafl, K. (2005). The integrative review: updated methodology. *J Adv Nurs*, 52(5), 546-553. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2005.03621.x>
- Wildridge, V., & Bell, L. (2002). How CLIP became ECLIPSE: a mnemonic to assist in searching for health policy/management information. *Health information and libraries journal*, 19, 113-115. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-1842.2002.00378.x>

